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Important Questions to ask yourself

- What are the goals/purposes of the assessment/evaluation (determine grades, provide students with detailed feedback, improve course or program, enhance learning, obtain funds, convince external constituencies of the value of student research...)?
- Who are your audiences beyond the self, if any (students, colleagues, community members, accreditation agencies, administrators, funding sources...)?
- How will you represent/share your findings, if at all (presentations, internal reports, publications, videos, web representations...)?
- Is there good fit among the outcomes/purposes, research question, theoretical constructs, measures and methods, and representations for the assessment/SoTL project?

My focus today is general but more about assessment and evaluation of students' work and outcomes from a research course/class/experience with the purpose of improving the experience for, and learning by, students.

Types of Outcomes to Measure

- Start by thinking about the learning and other objectives, outcomes of the research experience or program.
- What might be the intervening processes (the why and how that research experience contributes to learning outcomes) such as quality of mentoring relationship; structure of experience; student control and motivation)?
- Consider replicating findings from literature on outcomes of research experience (e.g., increased quality of interaction with faculty, improved writing and communication skills, knowledge of research skills, professional development, increased self-esteem, increased problem solving and critical thinking, greater satisfaction with college experience...).
- Know and apply theories about learning.
- Could there also be ‘unintended’ or ‘unanticipated’ outcomes to measure?
- Student attitudes/perceptions/beliefs/values.
- Student affective development.
- Student skills (methodological, statistical, writing, presenting, analysis, synthesis...).
- Student content knowledge.
- Measures of use/application/transfer of knowledge or skills.
- Communication, interactions, behaviors by students, peers, faculty/staff.

Types/Ways to Measure Outcomes

- Indirect (e.g. student perceptions) \(\rightarrow\) direct (e.g., valid instruments/measures of learning) \(\rightarrow\) performance measures (e.g., portfolios of work or capstone course products; behaviors)
- Static \(\rightarrow\) growth/change measures (pre-post)
- Single \(\rightarrow\) multiple methods/measures
- Existing instruments (e.g., URSSA, SURE, CURE) \(\rightarrow\) create your own instruments
- Quantitative \(\rightarrow\) qualitative measures
- Evaluation by other constituencies (users of findings; community members; professionals in the field)

General Data Gathering Strategies for SoTL and Assessment

- analysis of a product from the research experience (thesis, lab reports, journals, concept map, presentation, poster...) using a rubric
- interviews or focus groups of students
- questionnaires
• pre-post tests
• student reflections via journal or essay
• content analysis of text or documents (e.g., research report or paper)
• “think alouds”
• secondary analysis of existing data
• quasi-experiments or experiments
• observational research
• case studies of a student or a class or a project

Two Example Studies on Outcomes of a Research Experience in a Disciplinary Capstone Course from my SoTL Research Agenda

"THE SOCIOLOGICAL RESEARCH EXPERIENCE CAPSTONE COURSE AT THREE INSTITUTIONS"

This study describes the objectives, structure, and outcomes of a one-semester, required sociology research capstone course as taught at three institutions. Pre- and post-questionnaires from students, syllabi from instructors, and a random sample of final research papers were analyzed. Results indicate that the main foci of the course are to conduct research, produce a paper/thesis, develop writing and presentation skills, and integrate past learning. Instruction in this course includes numerous ‘best practices’ from the literature on teaching and learning. There appears to be an under-emphasis, however, on helping students to apply ‘theory’ in their projects. The theses reveal a wide range of topics, methodological approaches, and quality with the Introduction/Literature Review and Discussion/Conclusion sections receiving the lowest quality scores.

"A MULTI-INSTITUTIONAL STUDY OF STUDENTS’ PERCEPTIONS AND EXPERIENCES IN THE RESEARCH EXPERIENCE CAPSTONE COURSE IN SOCIOLOGY"

The purpose of this study is to describe student perceptions of and experiences in, as well as student self-reported learning and other outcomes from, a one-semester, required sociology research capstone course. The data come from students in a research experience/senior thesis capstone course as taught at three Illinois institutions varying in geographical location, size, degrees offered, and private-public status. Multiple methods for data collection were used including questionnaires, focus groups, and learning reflection essays. Results include that students have fairly accurate expectations about the course, self-report a range of skills and ideas they believe they learned in the course, and have an increase from pre to post in many positive attitudes about the course. In addition, themes from qualitative data include the following: students believe the strict course structure is important but also frustrating; they see the course as stressful and time consuming; they believe other courses do help prepare them for this class but that there are weaknesses in this preparation; they express pride in and ownership of their research project and products; and they report engagement in the discipline but mixed feelings about a future as researchers or sociologists.
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